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## 1 Models

The following models are verified in this report. All except EC are or have been running at MET.

| EC | Global model (IFS) at the ECMWF. From 26 January 2010 resolution $T 1279$ or approximately $16 \times 16 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ horizontally. Available resolution for verification at MET is $0.25^{\circ}$ latitude and longitude. Number of vertical levels increased from L91 to L137 25 June 2013. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hirlam12 (H12) | Version 7.1, horizontal resolution defined by a $12 \times 12 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ grid since 13 February 2008. |
| Hirlam8 (H8) | Version 7.1, horizontal resolution defined by a $8 \times 8 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ grid since 13 February 2008. |
| Harmonie5.5 | HARMONIE cycle 36h1.3 with ALARO physics run on a $5.5 \times$ $5.5 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ grid from 4 May 2011 to 15 January 2013. |
| Harmonie2.5 | HARMONIE cycle 36 h 1.3 with AROME physics run on a $2.5 \times$ $2.5 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ grid from 4 May 2011 to 26 February 2013. |
| AROME-Norway (AROME) | HARMONIE cycle 37h1.1 with AROME physics run on a $2.5 \times$ $2.5 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ grid on a larger domain than Harmonie2.5; experimental since 25 October 2012, replacing Harmonie2.5 from 26 February 2013. |
| AROME-MetCoOp (AM25) | HARMONIE cycle 38h1.1 with AROME physics run on a $2.5 \times$ $2.5 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ grid on same domain as AROME-Norway; experimental since 9 December 2013. |

Analysis and lead times of forecasts are denoted by e.g. 00+30 UTC which indicates forecast generated at 00 UTC and valid 30 hours later.

## 2 HARMONIE, AROME-Norway and AROME-MetCoOp

Experimental HARMONIE models have been run at MET Norway since August 2008, leading to AROME-Norway which on 1 October 2013 was introduced on yr.no, and AROME-MetCoOp which is run in cooperation between Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institue and MET Norway and replaced AROME-Norway on yr.no 27 May 2014. HARMONIE is the acronym for HIRLAM's meso-scale forecast system (Hirlam Aladin Regional/Meso-scale Operational NWP In Europe). The HARMONIE system includes several configuration options. This section presents some of the main components and setups that are or has been used at MET. More documentation is available on http://www.cnrm.meteo.fr/gmapdoc/.

### 2.1 ALARO-0 physics

ALARO-0 has physical parameterizations targeted for grey scale resolutions ( $4-10 \mathrm{~km}$ ). It is a spin-off of the Météo-France physical parameterizations used in the globale ARPEGE, but with a separate radiation scheme, 3MT micro-physical frame work, and the Toucans turbulence scheme. Much of the development has been done by the RC LACE (Regional Cooperation for Limited Area modeling in Central Europe) community.

### 2.2 AROME physics

AROME (Applications of Research to Operations at MEsoscale) is targeted for horizontal resolution 2.5 km or finer. It uses physical parameterizations based on the French academia model Meso-NH and the external surface model SURFEX. AROME has been operational at MétéoFrance since 18 December 2008, with a horizontal resolution of 2.5 km .

### 2.3 SURFEX as surface model

SURFEX (Surface externalisée) is developed at Météo-France and academia for offline experiments and introduced in NWP models to ensure consistent treatment of processes related to surface. Météo-France is already using SURFEX for some of their configurations and is planning to use it for all their configurations. Surface modelling and assimilation benefits from the possibility to run offline experiments. SURFEX is also used for offline applications in e.g. hydrology, vegetation monitoring and snow avalanche forecasts.

SURFEX includes routines to simulate the exchange of energy and water between the atmosphere and 4 surface types (tiles); land, sea (ocean), lake (inland water) and town. The land or nature tile can be divided further into 12 vegetation types (patches). ISBA (Interaction between Soil Biosphere and Atmosphere) is used for modelling the land surface processes. There are 3 ISBA options; 2- and 3-layer force restore and a diffusive approach, where the first one is used in HIRLAM. Towns may be treated by a separate TEB (Town Energy Balance) module. Seas and lakes are also treated separately. The lake model, FLAKE (Freshwater LAKE), has recently been introduced in SURFEX. A global ECOCLIMAP database which combines land cover maps and satellite information gives information about surface properties on 1 km resolution. The orography is taken from gtopo30.
"SURFEX Scientific Documentation" and "User's Guide" are available on http://www.cnrm.meteo.fr/surfex/

### 2.4 Data assimilation

NWP models are updated regularly using observations received in real-time from the global observing system. With one exception the models run at MET are updated at 00, 06, 12 and 18 UTC. AROME-MetCoOp is updated each third hour; at 00, 03, 06, 09, 12, 15, 18 and 21 UTC.

### 2.4.1 Surface analysis

Surface analysis is performed by CANARI (Code d'Analyse Nécessaire à ARPEGE pour ses Rejets et son Initialisation) (Taillefer, 2002). The analysis method is Optimal Interpolation and only conventional synoptic observations are used. 2 meter temperature and relative humidity observations are used to update the surface and soil temperature and moisture.

The snow analysis is also performed with CANARI in analogy with the HIRLAM snow analysis. Snow depth observations are used to update Snow Water Equivalent. The snow fields are analysed only at 06 UTC as there are very few snow depth observations at 00, 12 and 18.

The Sea Surface Temperature is not analysed, but taken from the boundaries. ECMWF uses the OSTIA (Operational Sea Surface Temperature and Sea Ice Analysis) product, including SST from UK Met Office and SIC from MET. The surface temperature over sea ice is taken from the boundary model and remains unchanged through the forecast.

### 2.4.2 Upper air analysis

AROME-MetCoOp runs three dimensional variational (3D VAR) data assimilation using conventional observations from synop stations, ships, radiosondes and aircrafts. AMSU-A and AMSU-B/MHS data from the polar orbiting NOAA and METOP satellites is also used.

### 2.5 Boundaries and initialization of upper air fields

Harmonie5.5 and Harmonie2.5 got their boundary values (3-hourly) from the ECMWF model at approximately 16 km resolution. The upper air fields were initialized from ECMWF forecasts each cycle. Harmonie5.5 had 60 vertical levels (ECMWF60 using the ECMWF definition). Harmonie2.5 had also 60 vertical levels (HIRLAM60 using the HIRLAM definition).

AROME-Norway and AROME-MetCoOp get their boundary values (1-hourly) from the ECMWF model at approximately 16 km resolution. They have currently 65 vertical levels. AROMENorway do no upper air assimilation, the upper air fields are initialized from ECMWF forecasts
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each cycle. None of the HARMONIE configurations at MET have applied digital filter initialization (DFI).

## 3 Verification measures

All model forecasts in this report are verified against observations by interpolating (bilinear) the grid based forecasts to the observational sites. As a consequence, it should be noted that it is the models' abilities to forecast the observations that is being quantifed and assessed. Thus, there is no attempt in this report to verify area averaged precipitation for example.

Verification is carried out both for raw and categorized forecasts. In the following, let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ denote the forecasts and $o_{1}, \ldots, o_{n}$ the corresponding observations.

### 3.1 Forecasts of continuous variables

The verification statistics applied to continuous variables are defined in the table below

| Statistic | Acronym | Formula | Range | Optimal score |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean Error | ME | $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(f_{i}-o_{i}\right)$ | $-\infty$ to $\infty$ | 0 |
| Mean Absolute Error | MAE | $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|f_{i}-o_{i}\right\|$ | 0 to $\infty$ | 0 |
| Standard Deviation of Error | SDE | $\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(f_{i}-o_{i}-M E\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | 0 to $\infty$ | 0 |
| Root Mean Square Error | RMSE | $\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(f_{i}-o_{i}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | 0 to $\infty$ | 0 |
| Correlation | COR | $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(f_{i}-\bar{f}\right)\left(o_{i}-\bar{o}\right)$ | -1 to 1 | 1 |

In the formula for COR the following definitions are used

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\bar{f}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}, & \bar{o}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} o_{i} \\
S D(f)=\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(f_{i}-\bar{f}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, & S D(o)=\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(o_{i}-\bar{o}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{array}
$$

for the means and standard deviations of the forecasts and observations.

### 3.2 Forecasts of categorical variables

All variables in this report are continuous in raw form, but it is possible to categorize them and verify these. For example, wind speed above a given threshold could be of interest which would result in two possible outcomes (yes and no). The verification is then completely summarized by a contingency table as the one shown below

|  |  | event observed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | yes | no |  |
| event forecasted | yes | $a$ | $b$ |
|  | no | $c$ | $d$ |

Verification statistics for such forecasts are listed in the following table

| Statistic | Acronym | Formula | Range | Optimal score |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hit rate | HR | $\frac{a}{a+c}$ | 0 to 1 | 1 |
| False alarm rate | F | $\frac{b}{b+d}$ | 0 to 1 | 0 |
| False alarm ratio | FAR | $\frac{b}{a+b}$ | 0 to 1 | 0 |
| Equitable threat score | ETS | $\frac{a-a r}{a+b+c-a r}$ | $-1 / 3$ to 1 | $1(0=$ no skill $)$ |
| Hanssen-Kuipers skill score | KSS | HR - F | -1 to 1 | $1(0=$ no skill $)$ |

In the formula for ETS $a r=(a+b)(a+c) / n$.

### 3.3 Observations

All observations come from Klimadatavarehuset at MET. Only synop stations are used, except for precipitation where all availiable stations are used for better spatial coverage. The model wind speed is verified against the mean wind FF observations. For post processed wind speed, the maxium 10 min mean wind speed last hour, FX , is used.

### 3.4 Changes since last report

- Verification of wind gust added for 25 March to 31 May, verified against observed wind gust, FG.
- AROME-Norway median for precipitation corrected. Errors in previous reports.
- Lead time back to +66 h. Only +48 h was available for the winter report.


## 4 Norway

### 4.1 Comments to verification results

MSLP:
AM25 has a lower bias compared with AROME. ECMWF still has the lowest SDE for most lead times. Small differences between the AROME models in SDE. Small differences also in MAE, but ECMWF is still the best model for mean sea level pressure.

Wind speed:
The AROME models generally have too much wind, while ECMWF has too little compared with the observations. In total, the bias in the Hirlam models is close to zero, but diurnal variations are large. Too weak winds during daytime and too strong during the nights.

HR is high for the AROME models, but so is also the FAR. The AROME models have the highest ETS. There are no large differences between the two AROME models, but AM25 scores a little better for low thresholds, while AROME is the best for high thresholds $\left(>11 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}\right)$.

After the post processing, H8_PP and AROME_PP both still have too weak winds compared with the max mean wind speed. HR is increased for both models after post processing. As is the FAR, but the increase is significantly larger for H8_PP than AROME_PP. For ETS, AROME_PP has the highest score for all thresholds, but the increase in score due to post processing is larger for H8.

Wind gust:
For the wind gust two different variables for AROME, AM25, and H8, are used, the gust (FG) and wind speed in the 925 hPa layer. Both commonly used to forecast wind gust. The verification period is between 25 march to 31 May. There are clear differences in the scores for gust and 925 hPa wind. The 925 hPa winds are generally too weak during the day and too strong during the night. The bias in gust varies more, but shows the opposite pattern with higher wind speed during the day and weaker during night. AROME_FG has the least bias, while AM25_FG is generally too weak, compared with the observations. The 925hPa winds have a higher SDE and MAE than the gust variables. AROME_FG has the lowest MAE.

The 925hPa winds have a lower HR for thresholds less than $17.2 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$, than the FG winds. But higher HR for higher wind speeds. AROME_FG has the highest HR of the FG for thresholds above $10.8 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$, but also the highest FAR above $13.9 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$. Due to a significantly higher FAR, the 925hPa winds score lower on the ETS than the FG winds for most thresholds. AROME_FG stands out as the best model and variable for wind gust in the ETS score.

## Temperature:

As with the winter temperature forecast, the spring forecasts have been too cold compared with the observations. There are diurnal variations for most models, in ME, but specially in SDE. AM25 has a bias of around $-0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and is colder than AROME during nighttime. ECMWF has the largest diurnal variations in ME, but no cold trend, as seen in earlier reports. AM25 has a higher SDE than AROME, which results in a higher MAE. AROME has the lowest MAE the first 15 hours, and is tied with H 8 for the rest.

The post processed temperatures have reduced bias compared with the model data. AROME.KF has the least bias during daytime, and a small cold trend. Similar to the winter period, H8.KF has the lowest bias. For the first 24h AROME.KF has lower SDE than AROME. AROME.KF has the lowest MAE.

Precipitation:
All models have too much precipitation compared with the observations for the spring. The exception is the AROME.med. There could be undercatchment in the observations, so normally the models should have a small positive bias. ECMWF has the highest bias.

ECMWF has the highest HR for thresholds lower than 25 mm . Above this, the AROME models score significantly better than the rest. The AROME models have low FAR below 12 mm , AROME.med the lowest up to 25 mm . AROME.med has the highest ETS score up to 35 mm . For the heaviest precipitation (above 35 mm ) the raw data from the AROME models scores highest. No large differences between AROME and AM25.

### 4.2 Pressure and variables at pressure levels





## ME



## SDE



## Geopotential height at 4 Norwegian stations



Wind speed at 4 Norwegian stations








### 4.3 Wind Speed 10m








Lead time [h]: 00+3,+6,..,+48 UTC
225 stations

OBS


OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | (21,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 106325 | 38819 | 718 | 123 | 59 | 146044 |
| 1 | $(3,11]$ | 32071 | 89516 | 7705 | 460 | 91 | 129843 |
| $\sum$ | $(11,17]$ | 68 | 2735 | 5435 | 1088 | 373 | 9699 |
| 0 | $(17,21]$ | 0 | 38 | 252 | 372 | 179 | 841 |
|  | (21,Inf] | 0 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 25 | 45 |
|  | Sum | 138464 | 131109 | 14122 | 2050 | 727 | 286472 |

## OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | (11,17] | (17,21] | ( $21, \mathrm{lnf}$ ] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 91211 | 25861 | 187 | 8 | 1 | 117268 |
|  | $(3,11]$ | 47153 | 101681 | 7649 | 593 | 240 | 157316 |
| $\underset{1}{\infty}$ | $(11,17]$ | 98 | 3529 | 5937 | 991 | 265 | 10820 |
|  | $(17,21]$ | 2 | 37 | 328 | 426 | 160 | 953 |
|  | (21,Inf] | 0 | 1 | 21 | 32 | 61 | 115 |
|  | Sum | 138464 | 131109 | 14122 | 2050 | 727 | 286472 |

OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | (11,17] | (17,21] | (21,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 93454 | 22823 | 94 | 4 | 3 | 116378 |
|  | $(3,11]$ | 44508 | 100902 | 5252 | 221 | 45 | 150928 |
|  | $(11,17]$ | 496 | 7227 | 8166 | 1146 | 334 | 17369 |
|  | (17,21] | 6 | 143 | 563 | 594 | 244 | 1550 |
|  | (21,Inf] | 0 | 14 | 47 | 85 | 101 | 247 |
|  | Sum | 138464 | 131109 | 14122 | 2050 | 727 | 286472 |

## Mean Error




5 Norwegian mountainous stations


Standard Deviation of Error



5 Norwegian mountainous stations


Mean Absolute Error


43 Norwegian coastal stations


5 Norwegian mountainous stations


### 4.4 Max Mean Wind Speed 10m








Lead time [h]: 00+3,+6,..,+48 UTC
225 stations

OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | (21, Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 69799 | 39633 | 290 | 14 | 3 | 109739 |
|  | $(3,11]$ | 25090 | 109739 | 12072 | 862 | 410 | 148173 |
| $\underset{1}{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $(11,17]$ | 60 | 2103 | 6419 | 1618 | 429 | 10629 |
|  | $(17,21]$ | 2 | 11 | 187 | 453 | 295 | 948 |
|  | (21, Inf] | 0 | 0 | 14 | 21 | 80 | 115 |
|  | Sum | 94951 | 151486 | 18982 | 2968 | 1217 | 269604 |

OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | (17,21] | (21,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 71770 | 37197 | 153 | 7 | 3 | 109130 |
| 山 | $(3,11]$ | 23000 | 109497 | 9266 | 405 | 83 | 142251 |
| $\bigcirc$ | $(11,17]$ | 179 | 4706 | 9186 | 1839 | 586 | 16496 |
|  | $(17,21]$ | 2 | 79 | 350 | 660 | 392 | 1483 |
|  | (21, Inf] | 0 | 7 | 27 | 57 | 153 | 244 |
|  | Sum | 94951 | 151486 | 18982 | 2968 | 1217 | 269604 |



## OBS



OBS


### 4.5 Wind gust

### 4.5.1 25 March - 31 May




MAE
201 stations



False Alarm Ratio


Equitable Threat Score


### 4.6 Temperature 2m



## ME



## SDE




156 Norwegian stations
$00+24,+30,+36,+42$ UTC

Standard Deviation of Error


43 Norwegian coastal stations


42 Norwegian inland stations


## Mean Absolute Error



43 Norwegian coastal stations


42 Norwegian inland stations


### 4.7 Post processed temperature 2 m





## ME



## SDE



### 4.8 Daily precipitation





SDE


Lead time [h]: 00+30,+54
531 stations

OBS


## OBS



OBS

|  |  | [0,0.1] | (0.1,5] | $(5,20]$ | $(20,50]$ | ( $50, \mathrm{lnf}]$ | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,0.1] | 10426 | 1366 | 61 | 5 | 0 | 11858 |
|  | (0.1,5] | 11903 | 17399 | 3177 | 80 | 0 | 32559 |
| $\underset{\mathbf{I}}{\infty}$ | $(5,20]$ | 807 | 6406 | 8388 | 1397 | 58 | 17056 |
|  | $(20,50]$ | 31 | 119 | 597 | 886 | 250 | 1883 |
|  | (50, Inf] | 0 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 25 | 40 |
|  | Sum | 23167 | 25295 | 12224 | 2377 | 333 | 63396 |

## OBS




## Standard Deviation of Error



16 stations with daily mean precipitation $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$


## Mean Absolute Error



16 stations with daily mean precipitation $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$


## 5 Eastern Norway

### 5.1 Comments to the verification results

MSLP
MAE and SDE grow by a factor 2-3 with increasing prognosis length, but the errors are relatively small, $2-3 \mathrm{hPa}$. It is worth noticing that MAE and SDE for the AROME models seem to be smaller than for ECMWF the first 24hrs of the prognosis, while ECMWF is better towards the end.

10 m wind (FF)
Arome-MetCoOp and Arome-Norway overestimate the mean wind over eastern Norway, but the values are relatively small $\left(1-3 m s^{-1}\right)$. The geographical distribution of errors is uneven, large errors in the mountain and relatively large along the coast, less in the inland stations. Hit Rate (HR) and False Alarm Ratio (FAR) are significantly higher for the AROME models than for Hirlam and ECMWF, especially for large values. The ETS, however, shows that the AROME models have better overall scores for all wind speeds, and significantly better than the coarser models for wind speeds higher than $10 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$.

Hirlam and ECMWF are not able to predict the highest wind speeds $\left(17-21 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}\right)$, while the AROME models forecast roughly half the observed occurrences.

Post processing increases the quality of the forecasts for all wind speeds, more so towards the highest wind speeds.

The predicted Wind Gusts from Arome and Hirlam seem to give less Mean Errors and SDE than wind from 925 hPa . The AROME models score slightly better than Hirlam.

### 5.2 Pressure





## ME



## SDE



### 5.3 Wind Speed 10m








Lead time [h]: 00+3,+6,..,+48 UTC
76 stations

OBS


OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | (21,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 47383 | 12060 | 272 | 81 | 54 | 59850 |
| 1 | $(3,11]$ | 11928 | 22712 | 1038 | 57 | 28 | 35763 |
| 2 | $(11,17]$ | 1 | 146 | 477 | 59 | 4 | 687 |
|  | $(17,21]$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
|  | (21,Inf] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sum | 59312 | 34918 | 1787 | 201 | 88 | 96306 |

## OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | (17,21] | (21,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 41274 | 7326 | 45 | 3 | 0 | 48648 |
|  | $(3,11]$ | 18037 | 27275 | 1093 | 115 | 74 | 46594 |
| $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $(11,17]$ | 1 | 315 | 643 | 77 | 12 | 1048 |
|  | $(17,21]$ | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 16 |
|  | (21, Inf] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sum | 59312 | 34918 | 1787 | 201 | 88 | 96306 |

OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | (3,11] | (11,17] | (17,21] | (21, Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 42549 | 6236 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 48791 |
|  | $(3,11]$ | 16621 | 27177 | 616 | 31 | 9 | 44454 |
|  | $(11,17]$ | 139 | 1465 | 1124 | 125 | 48 | 2901 |
|  | $(17,21]$ | 3 | 37 | 38 | 40 | 28 | 146 |
|  | (21,Inf] | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 14 |
|  | Sum | 59312 | 34918 | 1787 | 201 | 88 | 96306 |

## AROME-Norway 00+12



AROME-Norway 00+12
SDE at observing sites
forecast means 01.03.2014-31.05.2014


### 5.4 Max Mean Wind Speed 10m






Lead time [h]: 00+3,+6,..,+48 UTC
76 stations

OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | (17,21] | (21, Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 32972 | 13051 | 67 | 10 | 0 | 46100 |
|  | $(3,11]$ | 9954 | 32328 | 1861 | 157 | 124 | 44424 |
| $\infty$ | $(11,17]$ | 0 | 167 | 737 | 123 | 21 | 1048 |
|  | $(17,21]$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 16 |
|  | (21,Inf] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sum | 42926 | 45546 | 2668 | 298 | 150 | 91588 |

OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | (21,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 34005 | 11955 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 45974 |
| U | $(3,11]$ | 8875 | 32432 | 1238 | 66 | 13 | 42624 |
|  | $(11,17]$ | 45 | 1126 | 1384 | 186 | 95 | 2836 |
|  | $(17,21]$ | 1 | 30 | 30 | 44 | 35 | 140 |
|  | (21, Inf] | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 14 |
|  | Sum | 42926 | 45546 | 2668 | 298 | 150 | 91588 |

믐
오

|  | $[0,3]$ | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | $(21, \operatorname{lnf}]$ | Sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $[0,3]$ | 30863 | 10676 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 41559 |
| $(3,11]$ | 12028 | 33244 | 1113 | 140 | 103 | 46628 |
| $(11,17]$ | 35 | 1605 | 1396 | 50 | 23 | 3109 |
| $(17,21]$ | 0 | 21 | 135 | 92 | 10 | 258 |
| $(21$, Inf $]$ | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 14 | 34 |
| Sum | 42926 | 45546 | 2668 | 298 | 150 | 91588 |

OBS

|  |  | $[0,3]$ | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | $(21, \operatorname{lnf}]$ | Sum |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{\sim}$ | $[0,3]$ | 33774 | 11462 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 45247 |
| $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $(3,11]$ | 9106 | 32742 | 1092 | 51 | 10 | 43001 |
| $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $(11,17]$ | 45 | 1307 | 1478 | 158 | 84 | 3072 |
| $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $(17,21]$ | 1 | 32 | 84 | 78 | 40 | 235 |
| $(21, \operatorname{lnf}]$ | 0 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 16 | 33 |  |
|  | Sum | 42926 | 45546 | 2668 | 298 | 150 | 91588 |

### 5.5 Wind gust

### 5.5.1 25 March - 31 May




MAE
68 stations



False Alarm Ratio


Equitable Threat Score


### 5.6 Temperature 2m





## ME



SDE


## AROME-Norway 00+12

ME at observing sites
forecast means 01.03.2014-31.05.2014


## AROME-Norway 00+24

ME at observing sites
forecast means 01.03.2014-31.05.2014


## AROME-Norway 00+12



## AROME-Norway 00+24

SDE at observing sites


### 5.7 Post processed temperature 2m





## ME



## SDE



### 5.8 Daily precipitation





Lead time [h]: 00+30,+54
222 stations

OBS

|  | $[0,0.1]$ | $(0.1,5]$ | $(5,20]$ | $(20,50]$ | $(50$, Inf $]$ | Sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{N}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{~} 0,0.1]$ | 8840 | 1494 | 59 | 4 | 0 | 10397 |
| $(0.1,5]$ | 2672 | 6249 | 969 | 8 | 0 | 9898 |
| $(5,20]$ | 201 | 1976 | 2334 | 194 | 0 | 4705 |
| $(20,50]$ | 14 | 119 | 359 | 242 | 1 | 735 |
| (50,Inf] | 0 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 14 |
| Sum | 11727 | 9847 | 3723 | 450 | 2 | 25749 |

OBS


OBS

|  |  | [0,0.1] | (0.1,5] | $(5,20]$ | $(20,50]$ | (50,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,0.1] | 5697 | 612 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 6350 |
|  | (0.1,5] | 5703 | 7141 | 1172 | 20 | 0 | 14036 |
| $\underset{\underline{1}}{\infty}$ | $(5,20]$ | 322 | 2059 | 2328 | 328 | 2 | 5039 |
|  | $(20,50]$ | 5 | 34 | 184 | 100 | 0 | 323 |
|  | (50, Inf] | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Sum | 11727 | 9847 | 3723 | 450 | 2 | 25749 |

OBS

|  |  | [0,0.1] | (0.1,5] | $(5,20]$ | $(20,50]$ | (50, Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,0.1] | 9022 | 1680 | 81 | 3 | 0 | 10786 |
|  | (0.1,5] | 2537 | 6370 | 997 | 10 | 0 | 9914 |
|  | $(5,20]$ | 160 | 1719 | 2335 | 193 | 0 | 4407 |
|  | $(20,50]$ | 8 | 74 | 309 | 243 | 1 | 635 |
|  | (50, Inf] | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
|  | Sum | 11727 | 9847 | 3723 | 450 | 2 | 25749 |

## AROME-Norway 00+30

ME at observing sites


## AROME-Norway 00+30



## 6 Western Norway

### 6.1 Comments to the verification results

Wind speed 10 m :
For the period 1st of March to 31st of May 2014, AROME has a small positive bias in wind speed. This bias is smaller for AROME MetCoOp than AROME Norway. The Hirlam-models and ECMWF has a clear diurnal variation in bias. Hirlam 12 and 8 km have a positive bias during nighttime and a negative bias during daytime, while ECMWF has a negative bias during both daytime and nighttime. AROME scores best for all wind speeds. Below $11 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ AROME MetCoOp scores slightly better than AROME Norway, while it is the opposite above $11 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$.

Max mean wind speed 10 m :
For Max Mean Wind Speed, both AROME and Hirlam 8 km have a negative bias. After postprocessing the biases are about -0.3 to $-0.4 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ for Hirlam 8 and about -0.2 to $-0.3 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ for AROME. Hirlam 8 also has a stronger diurnal variation.

Wind gust:
For wind gust AROME has a negative bias, while Hirlam 8 has a positive bias. The bias is smaller for Arome Norway (about $-0.2 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ ) than for Arome MetCoOp (about $-0.9 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ ). If we look at wind speed at 925 hPa (which often is used as an esimate of wind gust), the are only minor differences in bias between AROME and Hirlam 8 km. The wind at 925 hPa scores better for strong winds than wind gust.

Temperature 2 m :
The bias in temperature was negative for all models and has a diurnal variation. For Hirlam and AROME modells, the bias was smaller during daytime, while the bias in ECMWF was smaller during nighttime. After post-processing Hirlam 8 has a small positive bias, while AROME has a small negative bias.

Precipitation:
For precipitation AROME has a small positive bias, while Hirlam has a small negative bias. ECMWF has a larger positive bias, but ECMWF has less errors (SDE and MAE) than both AROME and Hirlam. For light and heavy precipitation, AROME is the best model, while ECMWF is better for 24-hours precipitation between 8 and 35 mm .

### 6.2 Pressure





## ME



## SDE



### 6.3 Wind Speed 10m





False Alarm Ratio

Equitable Threat Score



Lead time [h]: 00+3,+6,..,+48 UTC
75 stations

OBS


OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | (17,21] | (21, Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 34836 | 14639 | 293 | 38 | 5 | 49811 |
| 1 | $(3,11]$ | 9449 | 29278 | 2597 | 129 | 16 | 41469 |
| $\sum$ | $(11,17]$ | 2 | 974 | 2390 | 453 | 92 | 3911 |
|  | $(17,21]$ | 0 | 6 | 87 | 176 | 89 | 358 |
|  | (21, Inf] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
|  | Sum | 44287 | 44897 | 5367 | 798 | 205 | 95554 |

## OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | (17,21] | (21,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 30451 | 10510 | 87 | 3 | 0 | 41051 |
|  | $(3,11]$ | 13821 | 33049 | 2535 | 139 | 18 | 49562 |
| $\underset{\mathbf{I}}{\boldsymbol{1}}$ | $(11,17]$ | 15 | 1330 | 2623 | 428 | 87 | 4483 |
|  | $(17,21]$ | 0 | 8 | 119 | 217 | 87 | 431 |
|  | (21,Inf] | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 13 | 27 |
|  | Sum | 44287 | 44897 | 5367 | 798 | 205 | 95554 |

OBS


## AROME-Norway 00+12



## AROME-Norway 00+12



### 6.4 Max Mean Wind Speed 10m







Lead time [h]: 00+3,+6,..,+48 UTC
75 stations

OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | (21,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 23193 | 16294 | 142 | 1 | 1 | 39631 |
|  | $(3,11]$ | 7403 | 36618 | 4300 | 221 | 23 | 48565 |
| $\boldsymbol{\infty}$ | $(11,17]$ | 9 | 823 | 2813 | 751 | 187 | 4583 |
|  | $(17,21]$ | 0 | 3 | 51 | 216 | 167 | 437 |
|  | (21, Inf] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 20 | 27 |
|  | Sum | 30605 | 53738 | 7307 | 1195 | 398 | 93243 |

OBS

|  | $[0,3]$ | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | $(21$, Inf | Sum |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\boldsymbol{[ 0 , 3 ]}$ | 22958 | 14561 | 71 | 6 | 2 | 37598 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $(3,11]$ | 7604 | 37822 | 3568 | 99 | 4 | 49097 |
| $(11,17]$ | 43 | 1340 | 3562 | 760 | 178 | 5883 |  |
| $(17,21]$ | 0 | 13 | 101 | 304 | 166 | 584 |  |
| (21,Inf] | 0 | 2 | 5 | 26 | 48 | 81 |  |
| Sum | 30605 | 53738 | 7307 | 1195 | 398 | 93243 |  |



|  | $[0,3]$ | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | $(21$, Inf $]$ | Sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $[0,3]$ | 21951 | 14114 | 71 | 0 | 1 | 36137 |
| $(3,11]$ | 8627 | 36720 | 2439 | 80 | 4 | 47870 |
| $(11,17]$ | 27 | 2823 | 3949 | 391 | 61 | 7251 |
| $(17,21]$ | 0 | 77 | 810 | 524 | 161 | 1572 |
| (21,Inf] | 0 | 4 | 38 | 200 | 171 | 413 |
| Sum | 30605 | 53738 | 7307 | 1195 | 398 | 93243 |

OBS

| 0 |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 1 |


|  | $[0,3]$ | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | $(21, \operatorname{lnf}]$ | Sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $[0,3]$ | 21870 | 12268 | 52 | 4 | 2 | 34196 |
| $(3,11]$ | 8685 | 38867 | 2494 | 67 | 1 | 50114 |
| $(11,17]$ | 50 | 2551 | 4222 | 480 | 99 | 7402 |
| $(17,21]$ | 0 | 48 | 519 | 502 | 141 | 1210 |
| $(21$, Inf $]$ | 0 | 4 | 20 | 142 | 155 | 321 |
| Sum | 30605 | 53738 | 7307 | 1195 | 398 | 93243 |

### 6.5 Wind gust

### 6.5.1 25 March - 31 May




MAE
67 stations



False Alarm Ratio


Equitable Threat Score


### 6.6 Temperature 2m





## ME



## SDE



## AROME-Norway 00+12

ME at observing sites


## AROME-Norway 00+24

ME at observing sites


## AROME-Norway 00+12

SDE at observing sites


## AROME-Norway 00+24



### 6.7 Post processed temperature 2m





## ME



## SDE



### 6.8 Daily precipitation





SDE


Lead time [h]: 00+30,+54
206 stations

OBS


OBS


OBS

|  |  | [0,0.1] | (0.1,5] | $(5,20]$ | $(20,50]$ | (50,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,0.1] | 3742 | 578 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 4340 |
|  | (0.1,5] | 3693 | 5364 | 1316 | 50 | 0 | 10423 |
| $\underset{\mathbf{I}}{\infty}$ | $(5,20]$ | 294 | 2802 | 4158 | 816 | 42 | 8112 |
|  | $(20,50]$ | 24 | 72 | 302 | 658 | 204 | 1260 |
|  | (50,Inf] | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 25 | 38 |
|  | Sum | 7753 | 8820 | 5794 | 1535 | 271 | 24173 |

OBS


## AROME-Norway 00+30



## AROME-Norway 00+30



## 7 Northern Norway

### 7.1 Comments to the verification results

Just as earlier period mean 10m winds from Arome-Norway have a better frequency bias than AM25 (MetCoOp), but compared to observations it still has too few incidents of the two highest wind speed classes. Number of incidents of wind $>17 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ is 1485 (observations), 965 (AromeNorway) and 808 (Arome-MetCoOp). At max mean wind speed the corresponding number of incidents were 2144 (observations), 908 (Arome-Norway) and 1280 (Arome-Norway-PP). Looking forward to see if the new post processing routine for Arome-MetCoOp will improve that. For max wind it seem only to be the lowest winds ( $<3 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ ) where Arome-Norway-PP overpredicts wind speed.

Interesting to see the new verfication of windgusts, comparing both windgusts at 10 m with the model wind at 925 hPa . It supports the forecaster practise to use the wind at 925 hPa as the best estimates at high wind speeds (gust $>24.5 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ ). As expected, for wind gust below $17 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$, the 925 hPa wind overpredicts wind gusts significantly. But it is not used by forecasters at those low wind speeds.

For precipitation Arome MetCoOp is very promising regarding the range $>20 \mathrm{~mm} / 24 \mathrm{~h}$. It seems to predict the highest intensities without overprediction. The well known Arome weakness of shallow winter convection at sea and on the coastline during onshore winds was clearly seen at several occasions. Gunnar Noer wrote an extensive report of a case 22. April.
"The 22 April 2014 case is typical for situations with westerly to northerly flow with a mix of deep and shallow convection over the Norwegian seas, and strong convection associated with orographic lifting across the coast. This is a common weather type in Northern Norway, and it accounts for a major part of the precipitation in the winter time in the region. At present, the Arome models are not sufficiently able to produce precipitation from certain types of convective clouds. The problem is pronounced with shallow convection at sea. There is also a pronounced lag in the models ability to develop precipitation in strong orographic lifting, hence the forecast precipitation is displaced inland." From "A precipitation case from 22.April 2014" by Gunnar Noer.


Figure 1: 22.april case

### 7.2 Pressure



SDE
50 stations


MAE
50 stations


## ME



## SDE



### 7.3 Wind Speed 10m





False Alarm Ratio

Equitable Threat Score



Lead time [h]: 00+3,+6,..,+48 UTC
74 stations

OBS


OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | (21,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 24106 | 12120 | 153 | 4 | 0 | 36383 |
| 1 | $(3,11]$ | 10694 | 37526 | 4070 | 274 | 47 | 52611 |
|  | $(11,17]$ | 65 | 1615 | 2568 | 576 | 277 | 5101 |
| $\overline{0}$ | $(17,21]$ | 0 | 32 | 165 | 192 | 88 | 477 |
|  | (21,Inf] | 0 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 22 | 40 |
|  | Sum | 34865 | 51294 | 6968 | 1051 | 434 | 94612 |

## OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | (21,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 19486 | 8025 | 55 | 2 | 1 | 27569 |
|  | $(3,11]$ | 15295 | 41357 | 4021 | 339 | 148 | 61160 |
| $\underset{1}{\infty}$ | $(11,17]$ | 82 | 1884 | 2671 | 486 | 166 | 5289 |
|  | $(17,21]$ | 2 | 27 | 203 | 203 | 71 | 506 |
|  | (21,Inf] | 0 | 1 | 18 | 21 | 48 | 88 |
|  | Sum | 34865 | 51294 | 6968 | 1051 | 434 | 94612 |

OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | (3,11] | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | (21, Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 21221 | 7722 | 49 | 2 | 1 | 28995 |
|  | $(3,11]$ | 13414 | 39846 | 2719 | 139 | 36 | 56154 |
|  | $(11,17]$ | 228 | 3640 | 3848 | 585 | 197 | 8498 |
|  | $(17,21]$ | 2 | 78 | 322 | 285 | 126 | 813 |
|  | (21,Inf] | 0 | 8 | 30 | 40 | 74 | 152 |
|  | Sum | 34865 | 51294 | 6968 | 1051 | 434 | 94612 |

## AROME-Norway 00+12



## AROME-Norway 00+12



### 7.4 Max Mean Wind Speed 10m







Lead time [h]: 00+3,+6,..,+48 UTC
74 stations

OBS

|  | $[0,3]$ | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | $(21, \operatorname{lnf}]$ | Sum |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\boldsymbol{m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [0,3] | 13634 | 10288 | 81 | 3 | 2 | 24008 |
| $(3,11]$ | 7733 | 40793 | 5911 | 484 | 263 | 55184 |
| $(11,17]$ | 51 | 1113 | 2869 | 744 | 221 | 4998 |
| $(17,21]$ | 2 | 8 | 133 | 229 | 123 | 495 |
| $(21, \operatorname{lnf}]$ | 0 | 0 | 13 | 15 | 60 | 88 |
| Sum | 21420 | 52202 | 9007 | 1475 | 669 | 84773 |

OBS

|  |  | [0,3] | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | (17,21] | (21,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,3] | 14807 | 10681 | 68 | 1 | 1 | 25558 |
| $山$ | $(3,11]$ | 6521 | 39243 | 4460 | 240 | 66 | 50530 |
|  | $(11,17]$ | 91 | 2240 | 4240 | 893 | 313 | 7777 |
|  | $(17,21]$ | 1 | 36 | 219 | 312 | 191 | 759 |
|  | $(21, \mathrm{lnf}]$ | 0 | 2 | 20 | 29 | 98 | 149 |
|  | Sum | 21420 | 52202 | 9007 | 1475 | 669 | 84773 |

믐
오

|  | $[0,3]$ | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | $(21$, Inf | Sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $[0,3]$ | 12748 | 8657 | 55 | 1 | 2 | 21463 |
| $(3,11]$ | 8581 | 40440 | 4097 | 278 | 78 | 53474 |
| $(11,17]$ | 77 | 3008 | 3973 | 587 | 299 | 7944 |
| $(17,21]$ | 12 | 92 | 766 | 388 | 119 | 1377 |
| (21,Inf] | 2 | 5 | 116 | 221 | 171 | 515 |
| Sum | 21420 | 52202 | 9007 | 1475 | 669 | 84773 |

OBS

|  |  | $[0,3]$ | $(3,11]$ | $(11,17]$ | $(17,21]$ | $(21, \operatorname{lnf}]$ | Sum |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $[0,3]$ | 14381 | 9794 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 24230 |
| $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $(3,11]$ | 6933 | 39501 | 3764 | 142 | 29 | 50369 |
| $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $(11,17]$ | 101 | 2850 | 4823 | 849 | 271 | 8894 |
| $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $(17,21]$ | 5 | 54 | 344 | 441 | 219 | 1063 |
| $(21, \operatorname{lnf}]$ | 0 | 3 | 22 | 43 | 149 | 217 |  |
|  | Sum | 21420 | 52202 | 9007 | 1475 | 669 | 84773 |

### 7.5 Wind gust

### 7.5.1 25 March - 31 May




MAE
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False Alarm Ratio


Equitable Threat Score


### 7.6 Temperature 2m





## ME



## SDE



## AROME-Norway 00+12

ME at observing sites


## AROME-Norway 00+24

ME at observing sites


## AROME-Norway 00+12

SDE at observing sites


## AROME-Norway 00+24



### 7.7 Post processed temperature 2 m





## ME



## SDE



### 7.8 Daily precipitation





SDE


Lead time [h]: 00+30,+54
103 stations

OBS


OBS

|  | [0,0.1] | (0.1,5] | $(5,20]$ | $(20,50]$ | (50,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [0,0.1] | 1243 | 222 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1472 |
| $(0.1,5]$ | 2292 | 4671 | 509 | 4 | 0 | 7476 |
| $(5,20]$ | 152 | 1709 | 1949 | 192 | 12 | 4014 |
| $(20,50]$ | 0 | 26 | 242 | 195 | 48 | 511 |
| (50, Inf] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Sum | 3687 | 6628 | 2707 | 392 | 60 | 13474 |

OBS

|  |  | [0,0.1] | (0.1,5] | $(5,20]$ | $(20,50]$ | (50,Inf] | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [0,0.1] | 987 | 176 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1168 |
|  | (0.1,5] | 2507 | 4894 | 689 | 10 | 0 | 8100 |
| $\underset{\underline{1}}{ }$ | $(5,20]$ | 191 | 1545 | 1902 | 253 | 14 | 3905 |
|  | $(20,50]$ | 2 | 13 | 111 | 128 | 46 | 300 |
|  | (50, Inf] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Sum | 3687 | 6628 | 2707 | 392 | 60 | 13474 |

OBS

|  | $[0,0.1]$ | $(0.1,5]$ | $(5,20]$ | $(20,50]$ | $(50, \operatorname{lnf}]$ | Sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $[0,0.1]$ | 2331 | 1029 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 3400 |
| (0.1,5] | 1260 | 4622 | 849 | 12 | 1 | 6744 |
| $(5,20]$ | 95 | 957 | 1669 | 219 | 10 | 2950 |
| $(20,50]$ | 1 | 18 | 147 | 153 | 32 | 351 |
| (50,Inf] | 0 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 17 | 29 |
| Sum | 3687 | 6628 | 2707 | 392 | 60 | 13474 |

## AROME-Norway 00+30



## AROME-Norway 00+30



## 8 Long term forecast

## Temperature

The temperature forecasts have been too cold for the deterministic and uncalibrated probabilistic forecast, in general around $-1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The calibration reduces the bias, so the forecast issued on Yr had almost no bias, during this period. After 90h, the deterministic forecast had a larger SDE than the probabilistic forecast. There were few differences between the uncalibrated and the calibrated probabilistic forecast in SDE. The MAE was lowest for the calibrated probabilistic forecast. But the differences between the probabilistic forecasts were small, about $0.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Wind speed
Both the deterministic and probabilistic forecast have a negative bias for wind speed, between 0 to $-0.7 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$. Almost no bias during nighttime. The SDE is larger for the deterministic forecast, about $3 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ after 200h. The probabilistic forecast has a SDE of about $2.5 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ after 200h. The deterministic forecast has the lowest MAE for lead times lower than about 78h. After this the MAE is larger for the deterministic forecast.

At 72h, the HR is very similar for both forecasts, but the HR is a bit higher for the probabilistic forecast up to $19 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$. The FAR is also somewhat increased for the probabilistic forecast. The ETS show almost the exact same score for both forecasts.

At 216h, the probabilistic forecast has no values above $15 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$, so the HR decreases rapidly with increasing thresholds. The decrease is also rapid for the deterministic forecast, but this forecast has at least values up to $25 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$. FAR is lower for the probabilistic forecast, than the deterministic. The ETS is low for both forecasts for this lead time, but it is higher for the probabilistic forecast for thresholds below $9 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$. Above this, the ETS is highest for the deterministic forecast, mostly because this forecast actually have values above this threshold.

Precipitation
For 12 h precipitation there is a clear dry trend in the bias for the probabilistic forecast. The same trend is found in the deterministic forecast before 138h, but at the end of the lead time the forecasts have a wet trend. The underestimation of precipitation in the probabilistic forecast is larger during daytime than nighttime. There are no clear diurnal variations in the deterministic forecast.

At 78h (nighttime), the highest values of the probabilistic forecast are around 20 mm , while the deterministic forecast have values up to 30 mm . HR is higher for the deterministic forecast. FAR is high for both forecasts, around 0.4 for 0.1 mm . Due to both higher HR and lower FAR, the deterministic forecast scores best on the ETS. At 90h (daytime), both models have higher HR and lower FAR, and highest values are increased with about 5 mm . The deterministic forecast still scores best on the ETS.

At the end of the lead time, 222h and 234h, the deterministic forecast have almost no skill, due to a very high FAR. The probabilistic forecast scores better on ETS, but has no values above 12 mm during nights and 20 mm during days.

For 24 h precipitation, the picture is much the same. The deterministic forecast is better at lead time 78 h , and the probabilistic is better at 222 h . The probabilistic forecast does not have values above 25 mm at lead time 222 h , while the deterministic have values up to 50 mm .

### 8.1 Temperature 2m





### 8.2 Wind Speed 10m











### 8.3 12h Precipitation













Lead time [h]: 222 Equitable Threat Score 236 stations





### 8.4 24h Precipitation











9 APPENDIX

## 9 Appendix

### 9.1 10m Wind speed

TROMSØ
01.03.2014-31.03.2014


01.05.2014-31.05.2014


| $\square-\square$ | synop: $00,06,12,18$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
| $\square$ | AROME_Norway: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
| $\square$ | Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
| - | ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |


| Min | Mean | Max | Std | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.3 | 3.5 | 11.5 | 2.3 | 372 |
| 0 | 4.2 | 17.4 | 3.2 | 363 |
| 0 | 4.4 | 15.9 | 3.4 | 363 |
| 0.2 | 5 | 12.6 | 2.6 | 372 |
| 0.1 | 2.6 | 8.2 | 1.3 | 372 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| SDE | RMSE | MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 11.8 | 363 |
| 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 12.8 | 363 |
| 2 | 2.5 | 2 | 7.1 | 372 |
| 1.8 | 2 | 1.5 | 7 | 372 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

ØRLAND




| $\square$ | synop: $00,06,12,18$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
| $\square$ | AROME_Norway: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
| $\square$ | Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
|  | ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |


| ME | SDE | RMSE | MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 9.2 | 363 |
| -0.8 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 9 | 363 |
| -0.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 11.5 | 372 |
| -0.1 | 2 | 2 | 1.5 | 11.3 | 372 |

YTTERØYANE FYR


01.05.2014-31.05.2014
synop: 00,06,12,18
AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
AROME_Norway: 12+18,+24,+30,+36
Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$

AM25 - synop
AROME_Norway - synop
Hirlam8 - synop
ECMWF - synop

ME
0.7
0.7
0.5
0.3

| Min | Mean |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 7.2 |
| 0.2 | 7.9 |
| 0.4 | 7.9 |
| 0.2 | 7.8 |
| 0.6 | 7.6 |
|  |  |
| SDE | RMSE |
| 2.4 | 2.5 |
| 2.4 | 2.5 |
| 2.2 | 2.3 |
| 2.3 | 2.4 |


| Max | Std | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22.1 | 4.5 | 372 |
| 21.3 | 4.6 | 363 |
| 21.7 | 4.5 | 363 |
| 21.8 | 4.3 | 372 |
| 18.9 | 4 | 372 |
|  |  |  |
| MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| 1.9 | 7.5 | 363 |
| 1.9 | 8.6 | 363 |
| 1.7 | 9.4 | 372 |
| 1.8 | 9.1 | 372 |

BERGEN - FLORIDA


01.05.2014-31.05.2014
synop: 00,06,12,18
AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
AROME_Norway: 12+18,+24,+30,+36
Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$

AM25 - synop
AROME_Norway - synop
Hirlam8 - synop
ECMWF - synop
ME
-0.2
0
-0.1
0
Min
0.1
0.3
0.1
0.1
0.2

SDE
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.6
Mean
3.6
3.4
3.6
3.5
3.6

RMSE
1.5
1.5
1.5
1.6

| Max | Std | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12.8 | 2.2 | 372 |
| 10.3 | 2 | 363 |
| 10.2 | 2.1 | 363 |
| 10.4 | 2 | 372 |
| 11.1 | 2.2 | 372 |
|  |  |  |
| MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| 1.2 | 7.5 | 363 |
| 1.1 | 6.8 | 363 |
| 1.2 | 8.8 | 372 |
| 1.2 | 8.7 | 372 |

FINSEVATN





AM25 - synop
AROME_Norway - synop
Hirlam8 - synop ECMWF - synop

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { ME } \\
0.8 \\
0.9 \\
-0.6 \\
-2.7
\end{gathered}
$$

Min
0.2
0.3
0.3
0.3
0.1

SDE
2.4
2.4
2.6
3.3
Mean
5.4
6.2
6.3
4.8
2.7

RMSE
2.6
2.6
2.7
4.3

| Max | Std | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22.6 | 4.3 | 370 |
| 21.3 | 4.1 | 363 |
| 22.7 | 4.2 | 363 |
| 13 | 2.7 | 372 |
| 7.1 | 1.4 | 372 |
|  |  |  |
| MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| 2 | 9.2 | 361 |
| 2 | 9.9 | 361 |
| 2 | 10.6 | 370 |
| 3.1 | 16.3 | 370 |

01.03.2014-31.03.2014

01.04.2014-30.04.2014

01.05.2014-31.05.2014
synop: 00,06,12,18
AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
AROME_Norway: 12+18,+24,+30,+36
Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
AM25 - synop
AROME_Norway - synop
0.1
Hirlam8 - synop ECMWF - synop
0.5

| Min | Mean | Max | Std | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 1.6 | 6 | 1.3 | 371 |
| 0 | 1.7 | 7.6 | 1.5 | 363 |
| 0.1 | 2 | 13.8 | 1.7 | 363 |
| 0 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 372 |
| 0.1 | 2 | 5 | 1.1 | 372 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| SDE | RMSE | MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 4.9 | 362 |
| 1.5 | 1.5 | 1 | 11.8 | 362 |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1 | 4.1 | 371 |
| 1.2 | 1.3 | 1 | 3.5 | 371 |

EKOFISK

01.04.2014-30.04.2014

synop: 00,06,12,18
AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
AROME_Norway: 12+18,+24,+30,+36
Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$

AM25 - synop
AROME_Norway - synop
Hirlam8 - synop
ECMWF - synop
Min
0.5
0.6
0.3
0.2
0.3

SDE
1.7
1.7
1.6
1.5

| Mean | Max | Std | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.3 | 18.5 | 3.5 | 372 |
| 8.2 | 18.6 | 3.7 | 363 |
| 8.3 | 18.6 | 3.8 | 363 |
| 7.8 | 19.4 | 3.6 | 372 |
| 7.6 | 16.7 | 3.4 | 372 |
|  |  |  |  |
| RMSE | MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| 1.9 | 1.5 | 6.4 | 363 |
| 1.9 | 1.5 | 6 | 363 |
| 1.7 | 1.3 | 6.5 | 372 |
| 1.5 | 1.1 | 4.9 | 372 |

SOLA


01.05.2014-31.05.2014

synop: 00,06,12,18
AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
AROME_Norway: 12+18,+24,+30,+36
Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$

AM25 - synop
AROME_Norway - synop
Hirlam8 - synop
ECMWF - synop
ME
-0.3
-0.2
0
0.2

| Min | Mean | Max | Std | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4.5 | 15.1 | 3 | 372 |
| 0 | 4.2 | 13.9 | 2.6 | 363 |
| 0.2 | 4.4 | 12.6 | 2.7 | 363 |
| 0.2 | 4.5 | 11.7 | 2.6 | 372 |
| 0.3 | 4.7 | 13.6 | 2.8 | 372 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| SDE | RMSE | MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 5.7 | 363 |
| 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 5.6 | 363 |
| 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 372 |
| 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 7.4 | 372 |

FERDER FYR




| $\square$ | synop: $00,06,12,18$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
| $\square$ | AROME_Norway: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
| $\square$ | Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
|  | ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |


| Mean | Max | Std | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.6 | 17 | 3.5 | 371 |
| 6.7 | 17.9 | 3.5 | 363 |
| 6.7 | 18.2 | 3.5 | 363 |
| 6.3 | 17.6 | 3.4 | 372 |
| 5.8 | 14.7 | 3.1 | 372 |
|  |  |  |  |
| RMSE | MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| 2 | 1.5 | 9 | 362 |
| 1.9 | 1.5 | 9.2 | 362 |
| 2.1 | 1.6 | 8.1 | 371 |
| 2 | 1.5 | 7.2 | 371 |

OSLO - BLINDERN


01.05.2014-31.05.2014

01.03.2014-31.05.2014

| $\square$ | synop: $00,06,12,18$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
| $\square$ | AROME_Norway: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
| $\square$ | Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
|  | ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |

### 9.2 Temperature 2 m

TROMSø

01.04.2014-30.04.2014

01.05.2014-31.05.2014

01.03.2014-31.05.2014synop: 00,06,12,18
AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
AROME_Norway: 12+18,+24,+30,+36
Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
AM25 - synop
AROME_Norway - synop
Hirlam8 - synop
ECMWF - synop
ME
-0.7
-1
-0.7
-2.3

| Min | Mean |
| :---: | :---: |
| -9.1 | 1.7 |
| -10.8 | 1 |
| -10.2 | 0.8 |
| -9.1 | 0.9 |
| -16.6 | -0.6 |
|  |  |
| SDE | RMSE |
| 1.5 | 1.7 |
| 1.6 | 1.8 |
| 1.3 | 1.5 |
| 1.8 | 2.9 |


| Max | Std | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17.3 | 4 | 372 |
| 14.9 | 4.3 | 363 |
| 14.3 | 4.2 | 363 |
| 13.7 | 3.7 | 372 |
| 16.3 | 4.9 | 372 |
|  |  |  |
| MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| 1.2 | 9.1 | 363 |
| 1.4 | 6.6 | 363 |
| 1.2 | 5.5 | 372 |
| 2.5 | 8.1 | 372 |

ØRLAND


01.05.2014-31.05.2014

synop: $00,06,12,18$
AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
AROME_Norway: 12+18,+24,+30,+36
Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$

AM25 - synop
AROME_Norway - synop
Hirlam8 - synop ECMWF - synop

Min
-3.2
$-5.6$
$-5.3$
$-3.3$
$-3$

ME
-0.7
-0.6
-0.4
-1.1

| Mean | Max | Std | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.5 | 20 | 3.9 | 372 |
| 5.8 | 22 | 4.4 | 363 |
| 6 | 19.1 | 4.2 | 363 |
| 6.1 | 17.4 | 3.3 | 372 |
| 5.4 | 14.3 | 2.9 | 372 |
|  |  |  |  |
| RMSE | MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| 1.8 | 1.3 | 10.5 | 363 |
| 1.5 | 1.2 | 6 | 363 |
| 1.4 | 1 | 5.9 | 372 |
| 1.9 | 1.4 | 8.3 | 372 |

YTTERØYANE FYR


01.05.2014-31.05.2014
synop: $00,06,12,18$
AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
AROME_Norway: 12+18,+24,+30,+36
Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$

AM25 - synop
AROME_Norway - synop
Hirlam8 - synop
ECMWF - synop

ME
-1
-0.8
-0.5
-0.7

Min

## 1.3

0.6
1.2
2.2
$\begin{array}{lr}2.4 \\ 2 & 6.6\end{array}$
Mean
7.1
6.1
6.4
6.6
6.4

RMSE
RMSE
1.9
MAE

| Max | Std | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15.9 | 2.5 | 372 |
| 12 | 2 | 363 |
| 12.5 | 2 | 363 |
| 12 | 1.8 | 372 |
| 11.9 | 1.9 | 372 |
|  |  |  |
| MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| 1.3 | 9 | 363 |
| 1.1 | 9 | 363 |
| 1 | 8.1 | 372 |
| 1 | 6.5 | 372 |

BERGEN - FLORIDA




| $\square$ | synop: $00,06,12,18$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
| $\square$ | AROME_Norway: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
| $\square$ | Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |
|  | ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ |


| ME | SDE | RMSE | MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 5.4 | 363 |
| -1.1 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 5.1 | 363 |
| -1.8 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 6.8 | 372 |
| -2.2 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 6.3 | 372 |

FINSEVATN


01.05.2014-31.05.2014


|  | Min | Mean | Max | Std | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| synop: 00,06,12,18 | -18.8 | -1.2 | 10.4 | 4.5 | 371 |
| AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ | -14.9 | -3 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 363 |
| AROME_Norway: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ | -15.1 | -3 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 363 |
| Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ | -11.2 | -2.1 | 10 | 4.6 | 372 |
| ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ | -10.2 | -1.7 | 9.7 | 4.2 | 372 |
| ME | SDE | RMSE | MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| AM25 - synop -1.8 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 11.2 | 362 |
| AROME_Norway - synop -1.7 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 10.9 | 362 |
| Hirlam8 - synop -0.9 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 8.5 | 371 |
| ECMWF - synop -0.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 10.1 | 371 |

NESBYEN




|  |  |  |  | 01.03.2014-31.05.2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min | Mean | Max | Std | N |
| synop: 00,06,12,18 | -5.2 | 6.3 | 23 | 6.4 | 372 |
| AM25: 12+18,+24,+30,+36 | -6.2 | 4.7 | 19.9 | 5.5 | 363 |
| AROME_Norway: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ | -5.3 | 5.4 | 21.9 | 5.7 | 363 |
| Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ | -11.6 | 2 | 16 | 5.3 | 372 |
| ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$ | -7.7 | 2 | 17.3 | 5.8 | 372 |
| ME | SDE | RMSE | MAE | Max.abs.err. | N |
| AM25 - synop -1.6 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 8.7 | 363 |
| AROME_Norway - synop -1 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 7.8 | 363 |
| Hirlam8 - synop -4.3 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 10.6 | 372 |
| ECMWF - synop -4.2 | 2.5 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 10.4 | 372 |

EKOFISK
01.03.2014-31.03.2014


01.05.2014-31.05.2014


SOLA



AM25: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
AROME_Norway: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
Hirlam8: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$
ECMWF: $12+18,+24,+30,+36$

```
synop: 00,06,12,18
synop: 00,06,12,18
Min
AM25 - synop
AROME_Norway - synop
Hirlam8 - synop
ECMWF - synop
ME
-1
-1.1
-0.9
-1.3
-0.4
-1.4
-1.2
-1
1.5

SDE
1.4
1.3
1.2
1.4
Mean
8.5
7.6
7.5
7.6
7.1

RMSE
1.7
1.7
1.5
2
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Max & Std & N \\
22.9 & 3.9 & 372 \\
22.1 & 4.3 & 363 \\
21.9 & 4 & 363 \\
21.3 & 3.9 & 372 \\
16.6 & 3.1 & 372 \\
& & \\
MAE & Max.abs.err. & N \\
1.3 & 10 & 363 \\
1.3 & 9.2 & 363 \\
1.2 & 5.4 & 372 \\
1.6 & 6.3 & 372
\end{tabular}

FERDER FYR

OSLO - BLINDERN


01.05.2014-31.05.2014

\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\square\) & synop: \(00,06,12,18\) \\
\(\square\) & AM25: \(12+18,+24,+30,+36\) \\
\(\square\) & AROME_Norway: \(12+18,+24,+30,+36\) \\
\(\square\) & Hirlam8: \(12+18,+24,+30,+36\) \\
- & ECMWF: \(12+18,+24,+30,+36\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
Min & Mean & Max & Std & N \\
-2.2 & 8.1 & 26.3 & 5.7 & 372 \\
-3.1 & 7.2 & 24.1 & 5.9 & 363 \\
-2.5 & 7.4 & 23.7 & 5.7 & 363 \\
-4.5 & 6.4 & 24.1 & 5.9 & 372 \\
-3.6 & 6.2 & 21.8 & 5.7 & 372 \\
& & & & \\
SDE & RMSE & MAE & Max.abs.err. & N \\
1.7 & 1.9 & 1.6 & 6.1 & 363 \\
1.5 & 1.7 & 1.4 & 4.7 & 363 \\
1.8 & 2.4 & 2 & 6.6 & 372 \\
1.5 & 2.4 & 2.1 & 7.1 & 372
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{9.3 Daily precipitation}

TROMSø
01.03.2014-31.03.2014



01.03.2014-31.05.2014
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\square\) & synop: 06 \\
\(\square\) & AM25: \(00+30\) \\
_ & AROME_Norway: \(00+30\) \\
& Hirlam8: \(00+30\) \\
& ECMWF: \(00+30\) \\
\\
AM25 - synop \\
AROME_Norway - synop \\
Hirlam8 - synop \\
ECMWF - synop
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Min & Mean & Max & Std & N & \\
0 & 3.7 & 25 & 5.1 & 91 & \\
0 & 2.9 & 16.1 & 3.7 & 92 & \\
0 & 3 & 16.4 & 4.1 & 92 & \\
0 & 4.9 & 28.5 & 5.6 & 95 & \\
0 & 4.7 & 23.3 & 5.3 & 95 & \\
& & & & & \\
ME & SDE & RMSE & MAE & Max.abs.err. & N \\
-0.8 & 3.7 & 3.7 & 2.3 & 14.1 & 89 \\
-0.5 & 3.4 & 3.4 & 2.2 & 11.8 & 88 \\
1.4 & 3.5 & 3.7 & 2.5 & 13.2 & 91 \\
1.2 & 3.4 & 3.6 & 2.4 & 11.6 & 91
\end{tabular}

BODØ


01.03.2014-31.05.2014
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Min & Mean & Max & Std & N & \\
\hline synop: 06 & 0 & 2.4 & 34.8 & 4.6 & 91 & \\
\hline AM25: 00+30 & 0 & 2.6 & 37.8 & 4.8 & 92 & \\
\hline AROME_Norway: 00+30 & 0 & 2.6 & 34.8 & 4.7 & 92 & \\
\hline Hirlam8: \(00+30\) & 0 & 3 & 26.9 & 4.3 & 95 & \\
\hline ECMWF: 00+30 & 0 & 3.9 & 32.3 & 5.5 & 95 & \\
\hline & ME & SDE & RMSE & MAE & Max.abs.err. & N \\
\hline AM25-synop & 0.3 & 2.5 & 2.5 & 1.5 & 11.2 & 88 \\
\hline AROME_Norway - synop & 0.3 & 2.4 & 2.4 & 1.4 & 9.3 & 88 \\
\hline Hirlam8 - synop & 0.8 & 3 & 3.1 & 1.8 & 12.1 & 91 \\
\hline ECMWF - synop & 1.7 & 3.4 & 3.8 & 2.3 & 20.6 & 91 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ØRLAND



\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\square\) & synop: 06 \\
\(\square\) & AM25: 00 +30 \\
\(\square\) & AROME_Norway: \(00+30\) \\
\(\square\) & Hirlam8: \(00+30\) \\
\hline & ECMWF: \(00+30\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Min & Mean & Max & Std & N & \\
0 & 1.9 & 15.5 & 3 & 92 & \\
0 & 2.8 & 18.4 & 4.2 & 92 & \\
0 & 2.7 & 16.7 & 4.1 & 92 & \\
0 & 3.1 & 19.7 & 4 & 95 & \\
0 & 3.6 & 22.3 & 5.1 & 95 & \\
& & & & & \\
ME & SDE & RMSE & MAE & Max.abs.err. & N \\
1 & 3.1 & 3.2 & 1.8 & 13.2 & 89 \\
0.8 & 3.1 & 3.2 & 1.8 & 13 & 89 \\
1.3 & 3.1 & 3.4 & 2.1 & 12.9 & 92 \\
1.8 & 3.5 & 4 & 2.3 & 13.5 & 92
\end{tabular}

\section*{BERGEN - FLORIDA}



LÆRDAL
01.03.2014-31.03.2014



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{synop: 06} & Min & Mean & Max & Std & N & \\
\hline & 0 & 1 & 10.1 & 2.1 & 91 & \\
\hline AM25: 00+30 & 0 & 2.8 & 25.9 & 5 & 92 & \\
\hline AROME_Norway: 00+30 & 0 & 2.5 & 19.7 & 4.3 & 92 & \\
\hline Hirlam8: 00+30 & 0 & 3.2 & 19.2 & 4.2 & 95 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ECMWF: 00+30} & 0 & 3.8 & 35.4 & 6.8 & 95 & \\
\hline & ME & SDE & RMSE & MAE & Max.abs.err. & N \\
\hline AM25-synop & 1.9 & 4.4 & 4.8 & 2.3 & 24.7 & 88 \\
\hline AROME_Norway - synop & 1.6 & 3.7 & 4 & 2.1 & 15.7 & 88 \\
\hline Hirlam8 - synop & 2.2 & 3.5 & 4.1 & 2.5 & 14.9 & 91 \\
\hline ECMWF - synop & 2.5 & 4.8 & 5.4 & 2.8 & 21.1 & 91 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{GARDERMOEN}


01.03.2014-31.05.2014
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Min & Mean & Max & Std & N & \\
\hline synop: 06 & 0 & 2.1 & 19.7 & 4.4 & 95 & \\
\hline AM25: 00+30 & 0 & 2.6 & 28.9 & 5.4 & 92 & \\
\hline AROME_Norway: 00+30 & 0 & 2.3 & 28.3 & 4.6 & 92 & \\
\hline Hirlam8: \(00+30\) & 0 & 2.5 & 24.2 & 4.7 & 95 & \\
\hline ECMWF: 00+30 & 0 & 2.1 & 24.5 & 4 & 95 & \\
\hline & ME & SDE & RMSE & MAE & Max.abs.err. & N \\
\hline AM25-synop & 0.6 & 2.7 & 2.8 & 1.3 & 11.7 & 92 \\
\hline AROME_Norway - synop & 0.3 & 2.7 & 2.7 & 1.3 & 13.6 & 92 \\
\hline Hirlam8 - synop & 0.4 & 3.3 & 3.3 & 1.5 & 21.9 & 95 \\
\hline ECMWF - synop & 0 & 2.1 & 2.1 & 1 & 11.1 & 95 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

NELAUG



01.03.2014-31.05.2014
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Min & Mean & Max & Std & N & \\
\hline synop: 06 & 0 & 5.1 & 21 & 5.5 & 27 & \\
\hline AM25: 00+30 & 0 & 2.2 & 20.1 & 4.2 & 92 & \\
\hline AROME_Norway: 00+30 & 0 & 2.5 & 24.7 & 4.9 & 92 & \\
\hline Hirlam8: \(00+30\) & 0 & 2.3 & 20.6 & 4.2 & 95 & \\
\hline ECMWF: 00+30 & 0 & 2.5 & 16.4 & 4 & 95 & \\
\hline & ME & SDE & RMSE & MAE & Max.abs.err. & N \\
\hline AM25-synop & 0.9 & 4.6 & 4.7 & 3.5 & 12 & 25 \\
\hline AROME_Norway - synop & 1 & 4 & 4.1 & 3.1 & 9 & 26 \\
\hline Hirlam8 - synop & 0.9 & 5.2 & 5.3 & 3.5 & 14 & 27 \\
\hline ECMWF - synop & 1.1 & 4 & 4.1 & 2.9 & 11.2 & 27 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```

